# ANNEX I. SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING TEMPLATE

## **Project Information**

Pr	oject Information	
1.	Project Title	Strengthening the institutional capacity of the Ombudsperson's Office of Turkmenistan
2.	Project Number	01000297
3.	Location (Global/Region/Country)	Turkmenistan

## Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

# QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach

The project is mainstreaming the human-rights based approach through the workshops and trainings under the below components:

- Strengthening the institutional capacity of the Ombudsperson's Office,

- Strengthening the cooperation between the Ombudsperson's Office and law enforcement agencies by raising the awareness of law enforcement officials, especially bodies, judges carrying out investigative functions and functions for the execution of sentences and lawyers about the norms of international human rights law,

- Increasing the level of knowledge and awareness of the general population about human rights, functions, and activities of the Ombudsperson's Office,

-Strengthening the promotion and protection of human rights by increasing the capacity of the staff of Ombudsperson's Office, strengthening the cooperation of the Ombudsperson's Office with regional and international organizations such as Asia Pacific Forum, Global Alliance of National Human Rights Institutions, and strengthening the cooperation of the Ombudsperson's Office with CSOs in Turkmenistan to establish the National Preventive Mechanism in Turkmenistan,

-Strengthening rule of law by supporting the active work of the Ombudsperson in Turkmenistan,

-Within the project the Ombudsperson's office will provide the recommendations to make amendments and additions to the legislation of Turkmenistan,

- Strengthened cooperation of Ombudsperson's office with law-enforcements agencies and judiciary system will support to level-up the RoL in the country via stronger implementation of the legislation of Turkmenistan with human-rights based approach.

In addition, the workshops on the role of Ombudsperson's office in ensuring gender equality and gender mainstreaming for the representatives of the law-enforcement agencies, ministries, and agencies, CSOs are delivered with recommendations of adopting SOPs that are mainstreaming human rights-based approach in working with the society in rural and urban areas.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

The project will improve gender equality and women's empowerment in Turkmenistan by means of the below:

- Providing support to strengthen the capacity of Ombudsperson's Office in addressing gender issues, grievances of women in rural and urban areas

- Providing training on improving the reporting of Ombudsperson Office by including gender disaggregated data into the Annual Reports of the Office of Ombudsperson,

- Providing technical support to monitor the implementation of human rights with the focus of gender equality and women's empowerment in the country.

- Providing technical support to learn the establishment of National Preventive mechanism to protect rights of women in penitentiary institutions.

#### Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability

There is no activities or objectives directly related to the mainstreaming of environmental sustainability. However, indirectly project may contribute to the environmental sustainability. As the achievement of Gender equality can enable and even accelerate the achievement of all the SDGs. While gender equality is captured as a stand-alone goal (SDG 5), gender must be integrated across all the SDGs, and gender considerations must be included in all sustainable development work and climate action.

# Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental <u>Risks</u>

QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks? Note: Describe briefly potential social and environmental risks identified in Attachment 1 – Risk Screening Checklist (based on any "Yes" responses). If no risks have been identified in Attachment 1 then note "No Risks Identified" and skip to Question 4 and Select "Low Risk". Questions 5 and 6 not required for Low Risk Projects.	QUESTION 3: What is the level of significance of the potential social and environmental risks? Note: Respond to Questions 4 and 5 below before proceeding to Question 6			QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?
Risk Description	Impact and Probabilit y (1-5)	Significan ce (Low, Moderate, High)	Comments	Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.
Risk 1: Insufficient commitment of partners and stakeholders in the implementation of the project.	I = 4 P =2	Moderate		According to M&E Plan of the project document, the project will Identify and monitor risk management actions using a risk log. This includes monitoring measures and plans that may have been required as per UNDP's Social and Environmental Standards. Audits will be conducted in accordance with UNDP's audit policy to manage financial risk.
Risk 2 Frequent personnel changes in national partner organizations.	l = 4 P = 4	High		
Risk 3: Temporary restrictions related to the COVID-19 pandemic for organizing study visits, field meetings and events in the velayats.	l = 1 P = 1	Low		
Risk 4: N/A. [add additional rows as needed]	l = 1 P = 1	Low		

QUESTION 4: What is the overall Proj	ct risk	categorization?
Select one (see <u>SESP</u> for guidance)		Comments
Low Ri	<sup></sup> X	Risks are identified by project management and actions are taken to manage risks. The risk log is actively maintained to keep track of identified risks and actions taken.
Moderate Ri		
High Ri	<sup>k</sup> 🗆	
QUESTION 5: Based on the identifie and risk categorization, what requirem the SES are relevant?		
Check all that apply		Comments
Principle 1: Human Rights	x	Project will ensure implementation of human rights equally in rural and urban areas of the country by enhancing and strengthening the capacity of the Ombudsperson's Office of Turkmenistan.
Principle 2: Gender Equality and Womer Empowerment	's X	The project is aimed at ensuring gender rights via increasing the capacity of Ombudsperson's office to address grievances on rights of women and girls in Turkmenistan efficiently.
		Also, the project is aimed to protect rights of women in penitentiary institutions.
1. Biodiversity Conservation and Nature Resource Management	a/	N/a
2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation		N/a
3. Community Health, Safety and Workin Conditions	<sup>g</sup> 🗆	N/a
4. Cultural Heritage		N/a
5. Displacement and Resettlement		N/a
6. Indigenous Peoples		N/a
7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficien	<i>y</i> $\Box$	N/a

# Final Sign Off

Signature	Date	Description	
Akmyrat Danatarov, Program Analyst		DocuSigned	by: Danatarov
Narine Sahakyan, Resident Representative		D52054DEAC DocuSigned Narine	
		5E3F5AAF4	/

### Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

Checklist Potential Social and Environmental Risks				
Principles 1: Human Rights				
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	NO		
2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups? <sup>1</sup>	NO		
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	NO		
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	NO		
5.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	NO		
6.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	NO		
7.	Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	NO		
8.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project- affected communities and individuals?	NO		
Prin	ciple 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment			
1.	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	NO		
2.	Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	NO		
3.	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?	NO		
4.	Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	NO		
	For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being			
	ciple 3: Environmental Sustainability: Screening questions regarding environmental risks are mpassed by the specific Standard-related questions below			
Stan	dard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management			
1.1	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats)and/orecosystemsandecosystemservices?	NO		
	For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes			
1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	NO		
1.3	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	NO		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to "women and men" or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender people and transsexuals.

1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	NO
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	NO
1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	NO
1.7	Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	NO
1.8	Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water? For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction	NO
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)	NO
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	NO
1.11	Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area?	NO
	For example, a new road through forested lands will generate direct environmental and social impacts (e.g. felling of trees, earthworks, potential relocation of inhabitants). The new road may also facilitate encroachment on lands by illegal settlers or generate unplanned commercial development along the route, potentially in sensitive areas. These are indirect, secondary, or induced impacts that need to be considered. Also, if similar developments in the same forested area are planned, then cumulative impacts of multiple activities (even if not part of the same Project) need to be considered.	
Stand	lard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	
2.1	Will the proposed Project result in significant <sup>2</sup> greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?	NO
2.2	Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	NO
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)?	NO
	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding	
Stand	lard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	
3.1	Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	NO
3.2	Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	NO
3.3	Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)?	NO
3.4	Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)	NO
3.5	Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?	NO
3.6	Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector- borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	NO
3.7	Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?	NO
3.8	Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labor standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	NO
3.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?	NO

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  In regards to CO<sub>2,</sub> 'significant emissions' corresponds generally to more than 25,000 tons per year (from both direct and indirect sources). [The Guidance Note on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation provides additional information on GHG emissions.]

Stan	dard 4: Cultural Heritage	
4.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	NO
4.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	NO
Stan	dard 5: Displacement and Resettlement	
5.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	NO
5.2	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	NO
5.3	Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions? <sup>3</sup>	NO
5.4	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	NO
Stan	dard 6: Indigenous Peoples	
6.1	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	NO
6.2	Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	NO
6.3	Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)? If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is "yes" the potential risk impacts are considered	NO
	potentially severe and/or critical and the Project would be categorized as either Moderate or High Risk.	
6.4	Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	NO
6.5	Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	NO
6.6	Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	NO
6.7	Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	NO
6.8	Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	NO
6.9	Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	NO
Stan	dard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	
7.1	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	NO
7.2	Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	NO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Forced evictions include acts and/or omissions involving the coerced or involuntary displacement of individuals, groups, or communities from homes and/or lands and common property resources that were occupied or depended upon, thus eliminating the ability of an individual, group, or community to reside or work in a particular dwelling, residence, or location without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protections.

7.3	Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs?	NO
	For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol	
7.4	Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	NO
7.5	Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	NO